(Schubert, Werner, Zinner \& Werner, 1983) and $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O} 1 \cdot 488, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O} 1 \cdot 595 \AA$ (Fernanda, Carvalho, Pombeiro, Hughes \& Richards, 1987).
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# Structure of $\mathbf{D i}-\mu$-chloro-bis[trichlorobis(tetrahydrofuran)uranium(IV)] 
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Abstract. $\left[\left\{\mathrm{UCl}_{4}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\right], \quad M_{r}=1048 \cdot 12$, monoclinic, $\quad P 2_{1} / c, \quad a=10.756(5), \quad b=12.372(5), \quad c=$ $12 \cdot 293$ (6) $\AA, \beta=113 \cdot 31$ (3) ${ }^{\circ}, V=1502$ (2) $\AA^{3}, Z=$ $2, D_{x}=2.317 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \lambda($ Mo $K \bar{\alpha})=0.71073 \AA, \mu=$ $109 \cdot 486 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \quad F(000)=960, \quad T=295(1) \mathrm{K}, \quad R=$ 0.037 for 1287 observed unique reflections. The dimeric complex, in which two $\mathrm{UCl}_{4}(\mathrm{THF})_{2}$ moieties are bridged by two Cl atoms, lies on a centre of symmetry. Each $U$ atom of the dimer is seven coordinated in a pentagonal-bipyramidal geometry. The maximum deviation from the best plane through the U atom and its five equatorial ligand atoms $\left[\mathrm{Cl}(1), \mathrm{Cl}(1)^{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{Cl}(4)\right.$ and the O atoms of the two THF] is 0.05 (1) $\AA . \mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ is almost linear and perpendicular to this plane.

Experimental. The title complex was prepared by reaction of uranium tetrachloride with tetrahydrofuran in $n$-pentane at room temperature. Extraction and recrystallization with a $10 \%$ THF solution in $n$-pentane gave single crystals. The selected specimen $(0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.30 \mathrm{~mm})$ was sealed in a thin-walled glass capillary under an inert atmosphere. EnrafNonius CAD-4 diffractometer was used with graphite-monochromated radiation. Unit-cell dimensions and standard deviations were obtained by least-squares fit to 25 reflections in the range $16-30^{\circ}$

[^0](2 $\theta$ ). The space group was unequivocally established from systematic absences. Intensity data were collected by $\theta-2 \theta$ scans in the range $4<2 \theta<46^{\circ}, h 0 \rightarrow$ $12, k-14 \rightarrow 14, l-14 \rightarrow 14$. In total 3829 reflections were measured to give 2081 unique reflections ( $R_{\text {int }}=$ $0 \cdot 023$ ) of which 1287 were considered observed $[I \geq$ $3 \sigma(I)$ ] and used in refinement ( $h 0 \rightarrow 10, k 0 \rightarrow 12, l$ $-12 \rightarrow 11$ ). Intensities of three standard reflections were measured at 30 min intervals, anistropic decay correction was applied (total decay $3.9 \%$ ). The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. $\psi$ scans were used for the absorption correction (North, Phillips \& Mathews, 1968), the min. and max. relative transmission factors were 73.32 and $99.95 \%$ respectively. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix leastsquares techniques which minimized $\sum w(\Delta F)^{2} ; w=$ $1 /\left[\sigma\left(F_{o}\right)\right]^{2}$ with $\sigma\left(F_{o}\right)=\sigma\left(F_{o}^{2}\right) / 2 F_{o}$ and $\sigma\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)=\left[\sigma^{2}(I)\right.$ $\left.+(A)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} / \mathrm{Lp}$ where $A$, the ignorance factor, is 0.06 . Anisotropic temperature factors were used for non-H atoms. A secondary-extinction coefficient was refined to $g=8.9 \times 10^{-8}\left\{F_{c}=F_{c} /\left[1+g\left(F_{c}\right)^{2} \mathrm{Lp}\right]\right\}$. The final discrepancy indices were $R=0.037, w R=0.066, S=$ $2 \cdot 09$. 137 variables were refined with maximum shift/ e.s.d. in final cycle 0.03 H atoms were included in final structure factor calculations in idealized positions with $B_{\text {iso }}=4 \AA^{2}$. Largest positive and negative peaks on final $\Delta \rho$ map had heights $+1 \cdot 16$ and $-1.39 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$, respectively, with all substantial peaks close to U. Atomic scattering factors and © 1991 International Union of Crystallography

Table 1. Fractional coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ ) with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{\mathrm{eq}}=(4 / 3)\left[a^{2} \beta_{11}+b^{2} \beta_{22}+c^{2} \beta_{33}+(2 a b \cos \gamma) \beta_{12}+(2 a c \cos \beta) \beta_{13}\right. \\
\left.+(2 b c \cos \alpha) \beta_{23}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| U | $0.18668(5)$ | $0.05032(4)$ | $0.16572(4)$ | $3.22(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $0.0263(5)$ | $-0.1236(3)$ | $0.0379(4)$ | $6.0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $0.3314(5)$ | $0.0315(4)$ | $0.0452(4)$ | $5.8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $0.0347(4)$ | $0.0728(4)$ | $0.2799(4)$ | $5.9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | $0.4010(5)$ | $0.1001(4)$ | $0.3520(4)$ | $6.8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.1998(9)$ | $0.2459(9)$ | $0.1586(8)$ | $4.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $0.266(1)$ | $-0.120(1)$ | $0.2650(9)$ | $5.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $0.234(2)$ | $0.305(2)$ | $0.069(1)$ | $8.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $0.248(2)$ | $0.423(1)$ | $0.117(1)$ | $5.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $0.275(2)$ | $0.413(2)$ | $0.244(2)$ | $6.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $0.192(2)$ | $0.318(1)$ | $0.254(1)$ | $6.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $0.248(3)$ | $-0.155(2)$ | $0.371(2)$ | $13.1(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $0.314(3)$ | $-0.267(2)$ | $0.402(3)$ | $10.9(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $0.355(3)$ | $-0.285(2)$ | $0.321(3)$ | $16.0(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $0.347(2)$ | $-0.192(2)$ | $0.238(2)$ | $10.7(8)$ |

Table 2. Bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$
(1) $U$ coordination sphere

| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 2.816 (3) | $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 2.415 (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cl}\left(1{ }^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.792 (3) | $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 2.550 (4) |
| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{I})$ | 2.427 (9) | $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $2 \cdot 556$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | $2 \cdot 600$ (3) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | 177.8 (1) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | 75.6 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cl}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 69.1 (1) | $\mathrm{Cl}(4)-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 75.5 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}\left(11^{\prime}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(1)\right.$ | 71.5 (2) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 68.3 (2) |
| (2) THF |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.48 (2) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.45 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.56 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.54 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.48 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.26 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.51 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 1.52 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1 \cdot 50$ (1) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 1.38 (2) |
| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $123 \cdot 7$ (7) | $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 125 (1) |
| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 122.8 (7) | $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 125 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 113 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 110 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 101 (1) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 106 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 105 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 104 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 106 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 117 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 101 (1) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 102 (2) |

Symmetry code: (i) $-x,-y,-z$.


Fig. 1. ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) drawing of the title compound with thermal ellipsoids at the $50 \%$ probability level.
is also observed here. The $\mathbf{U} \cdots \mathbf{U}^{1}$ distance of $4 \cdot 618$ (1) $\AA$ and the average bridging $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance of 2.804 (4) $\AA$ are comparable to the values in $\mathrm{UO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{THF})_{2}$ (Rogers, Green \& Benning, 1986), respectively $4 \cdot 619$,(5) and $2.82(2) \AA$. The pentagonal bipyramidal geometry found for this compound is interesting because it is relatively uncommon in uranium(IV) complexes. Some of them have been characterized in the solid state: $\mathrm{UBr}_{4}$ (Taylor \& Wilson, 1974), which is a bromine-bridged polymer, the $\left[\mathrm{UF}_{7}\right]^{3-}$ anion in $\mathrm{K}_{3}\left[\mathrm{UF}_{7}\right]$ (Zachariasen, 1954), the $\left[\mathrm{UCl}_{3}\left\{\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CON}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right\}_{4}\right]^{+}$cation in $\left[\mathrm{UCl}_{3}-\right.$ $\left.\left\{\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CON}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right\}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{UCl}_{5}\left\{\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CON}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$
(Bagnall, Beddoes, Mills \& Xing-fu, 1982), the neutral complexes $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{NCS})_{4}\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCON}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3}$ (Bagnall, Xing-fu, Bombieri \& Benetollo, 1982) and $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{NCS})_{4}\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{CON}\left(i-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)_{2}\right]_{3}$ (Al-Daher, Bagnall, Benetollo, Polo \& Bombieri, 1986). The reduction in the coordination number around the uranium from eight $\left(\mathrm{UCl}_{4}\right)$ to seven (here) would result from the hindrance of the additional THF ligands with respect to Cl ligands.
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# Structure of Aqua-hexakis( $\mu_{2}$-benzoato)-bis(methanol)- $\mu_{3}$-oxo-triiron(III) Benzoate Ethanol Methanol Solvate 
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#### Abstract

Fe}_{3}(\mathrm{O})\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{6}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]\) $\left[\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(1 / 1 / 1), M_{r}=1191 \cdot 56$, triclinic, $\quad P \overline{1}, \quad a=11.591$ (3),$\quad b=13 \cdot 308$ (2),$\quad c=$ 20.154 (3) $\AA, \quad \alpha=96.910$ (12),$\quad \beta=96.95$ (2),$\quad \gamma=$ $114 \cdot 10(2)^{\circ}, \quad V=2767 \cdot 1(9) \AA^{3}, \quad Z=2, \quad D_{x}=$ $1.43 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \mu=8.471 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=$ $0.7107 \AA, F(000)=1234, T=198 \mathrm{~K}, R=0.0522$ for 6252 reflections $\left[F_{o} \geq 4 \sigma\left(F_{o}\right)\right]$. The complex has nearly $D_{3 h}$ symmetry with two coordinated $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ molecules and one coordinated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecule. The coordination around the Fe atoms is essentially octahedral. Each Fe atom lies slightly out of the plane of the O atoms of the bridging benzoates and is directed towards the $\mu_{3}-\mathrm{O}$ atom. The average $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ distances are $1-907(2) \AA$ for the $\mu_{3}-\mathrm{O}$ atom and $2 \cdot 010$ (1) $\AA$ for the benzoate O atoms.


Experimental. As a by-product of ongoing research related to the respiratory protein hemerythrin (Sessler, Sibert \& Lynch, 1990; Sessler, Hugdahl, Lynch \& Davis, 1990), complex (1), [ $\mathrm{Fe}_{3}(\mathrm{O})$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{6}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-$
$\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$, was synthesized. Orange crystals were obtained by slow evaporation of a methanol-ethanol (5:1) solution. The crystals quickly decomposed when taken out of the mother liquor, presumably due to the loss of methanol and/or ethanol solvate molecules.


The data crystal was an orange needle of approximate dimensions $0.13 \times 0.20 \times 0.41 \mathrm{~mm}$. The data 0108-2701/91/040866-04\$03.00
were collected on a Nicolet $P 3$ diffractometer, using graphite-monochromatized Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, and a Nicolet LT-2 low-temperature delivery system. Lattice parameters were obtained from the least-squares refinement of 42 reflections with $24 \cdot 4<2 \theta<30 \cdot 0^{\circ}$. Data were collected using the $\omega$-scan technique (10 318 reflections, 9788 unique, $R_{\text {int }}=0.0134$ ), with a $2 \theta$ range from $4 \cdot 0-50^{\circ}$ in $2 \theta$, over a $1.3^{\circ} \omega$ scan at $4-8^{\circ} \min ^{-1}(h=0 \rightarrow 12, k=-15 \rightarrow 15, l=-23 \rightarrow 23)$. Four reflections ( $2 \overline{3} 3 ; \overline{2} 32 ; \overline{3} 3 \overline{2} ; \overline{3} 0 \overline{1})$ were remeasured every 96 reflections to monitor instrument and crystal stability. A smoothed curve of the intensities of these four reflections was used to scale the data. The scaling factor ranged from $0.968-1.03$. The data were also corrected for Lp effects and absorption. The absorption correction was based on crystal-face measurements with a minimium and maximum transmission factor range of $0.8291-0.9147$. The data reduction, absorption and decay correction were performed using SHELXTL-Plus (Sheldrick, 1987). Reflections having $F_{o}<4 \sigma\left(F_{o}\right)$ were considered unobserved ( 3536 reflections). The structure was solved by the direct methods and refined by fullmatrix least squares (Sheldrick, 1987). The non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. The H atoms were obtained from a $\Delta F$ map and refined with isotropic thermal parameters. During the latter stages of refinement, the H atoms of the methanol C atoms (C60, C62, C2B) and the C atoms of the ethanol molecule (C2A, C3A) were idealized ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} 0.96 \AA$ ) with isotropic thermal parameters fixed at $1.2 \times U_{\text {eq }}$ of the relevant $C$ atom. In all, a total of 858 paremeters were refined in blocks of 394 and 465 parameters with the scale factor being refined in each block. The function $\sum w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$ was minimized, where $w=1 /$ $\left[\sigma\left(F_{o}\right)\right]^{2}$ and $\sigma\left(F_{o}\right)=0 \cdot 5 k I^{-1 / 2}\left\{\left[\sigma(I)^{2}+(0 \cdot 02 I)^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}\right.$. The intensity, $I$, is given by ( $\left.I_{\text {peak }}-I_{\text {background }}\right) \times$ (scan rate); 0.02 is a factor to downweight intense reflections and to account for instrument instability and $k$ is the correction due to Lp effects, absorption and decay. $\sigma(I)$ was estimated from counting statistics; $\sigma(I)=\left[\left(I_{\text {peak }}+I_{\text {background }}\right)^{1 / 2} \times(\right.$ scan rate $\left.)\right]$. Final $R=0.0522$ for 6252 reflections, $w R=0.0533\left(R_{\text {all }}=\right.$ $\left.0.0863, w R_{\text {all }}=0.0583\right)$ and a goodness of fit $=1.43$.
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